

<i>Subject:</i>	USA PROPOSAL RE JUDGING	<i>Annex No. -</i>	39
<i>Author:</i>	James Hayhurst, Alternate Delegate, USA	<i>Agenda ref. -</i>	19.5
<i>Date:</i>	25 November 2011	<i>Page</i>	1 of 2



Proposal by the U.S.A.

To adopt a new judging method that allows partial (selective) playback, slow motion, and multiple views to increase the accuracy and speed of judging events (other than freefall style) evaluated by video playback, specifically CF, FS, and VFS – as follows:-

FS and VFS - 5.4 and 5.5

Change from:

5.4. The Judges will watch the video evidence of each jump to a maximum of three times at normal speed. If, after the viewings are completed, and within fifteen seconds of the knowledge of the result, the Chief Judge, Event Judge or any Judge on the panel considers that an absolutely incorrect assessment has occurred, the Chief Judge or Event Judge will direct that only that part(s) of the jump in question be reviewed. If the review results in a unanimous decision by the Judges on the part(s) of the performance in question, the score for the jump will be adjusted accordingly. Only one review is permitted for each jump.

5.5. The Judges will use the electronic scoring system to record their evaluation of the performance. At the end of working time, freeze frame will be applied on each viewing, based on the timing taken from the first viewing only. The Judges may correct their evaluation record after the jump has been judged. Corrections to the evaluation record can only be made before the Chief Judge signs the score sheet. All individual Judge's evaluation will be published.

Change to:

5.4. The Judges will watch the video evidence of each jump once at normal speed to determine points in time. A second timing will be allowed if the last point in time is in question. At the end of working time, freeze frame will be applied on each full viewing. At the discretion of the Chief Judge and the Event Judge, judges may then be allowed partial playback, slow motion, and multiple views to increase the quality and speed of the judging. If, during the judging cycle, the Chief Judge, Event Judge or any Judge on the panel considers that an absolute infringement has occurred, that infringement will be brought to the attention of the Event Judge to insure it has been correctly scored by the majority of the Panel Judges.

Subject:	USA PROPOSAL RE JUDGING	Annex No. -	39
Author:	James Hayhurst, Alternate Delegate, USA	Agenda ref. -	19.5
Date:	25 November 2011	Page	2 of 2

5.5. The Judges will use the electronic scoring system to record their evaluation of the performance. The Judges may correct their evaluation record after the jump has been judged. Corrections to the evaluation record can only be made before the Chief Judge signs the score sheet. All individual judge's evaluations will be published.

CF - 6.2

Change from:

6.2. The judges will watch each performance once at normal speed. At the request of any working judge, a second viewing at normal speed may be made. If, after the second viewing has taken place and a clear decision has not been made as to when the working time begins, the Event Judge may guide the Panel of Judges when to start their chronometers. A third viewing at normal speed may only occur when authorised by the Event Judge. The judges shall start the timing when the first team member (including the team's videographer) leaves the aircraft. At the end of working time, freeze frame of the video image shall be applied.

Change to:

6.2. The Judges will watch the video evidence of each jump once at normal speed to determine points in time. If, after the first viewing has taken place and a clear decision has not been made as to when the working time begins, the Event Judge may guide the Panel of Judges when to start their chronometers. At the end of working time, freeze frame will be applied on each full viewing. At the discretion of the Chief Judge and the Event Judge, judges may then be allowed partial playback, slow motion, and multiple views to increase the quality and speed of the judging. If, during the judging cycle, the Chief Judge, Event Judge or any Judge on the panel considers that an absolute infringement has occurred, that infringement will be brought to the attention Event Judge to insure it has been correctly scored by the majority of the Panel Judges.

Kirk Verner
Delegate, USA

James Hayhurst
Alternate Delegate, USA