

Subject :	Pronosal SA		Annex	4A
Author (s) :	Czeck delegate		Agenda reference :	10
Authors representing	Doc.nr		1	
Date : 20 Nov 2004		Repl.doc.dated / Version		

EPC 2005 S&A Czech Republic

### **Explanation of some points of our proposed Program of events /7.2.8/**

1/ Because of juniors in the competition we want to let them jump maximum of jumps possible /no cuts/

2/ By adding the juniors category to the seniors competition we can face a situation when young jumpers /classified as juniors/ can be nominated into the senior's National Teams and compete as seniors as well. This is why there are no SFs or Fs for juniors

3/ To make the competition program as simple as possible for competitors and public as well we are proposing a unified format "basic program for all jumpers + 20 best into the SF and 50% of them into the F" for both individual events

4/ Sub rules for breaking ties in Accuracy events are not working well yet /ast example: WPC 2004 with 3 teams on the second place. Additional medals and cups are not delivered yet/. Our proposal comes from a practice of many competitions.

### **Proposal for changes of some rules /CR 2004/**

**5.1.5 /4/ "Circles 10 and 20 meters..."** the 10 m circle has no more any use – **To cancel the 10 m circle**

**5.1.9 /1/ "A team consists of 5 jumpers. The best 4 scores will count..."**. This rule made a strange back loop in the last years /from counting 4 best jumpers to counting all 5 of them and back to 4 only.../. In the earlier systems of calculating Team Overall /by adding 3 events together/ "4 jumpers only" made a sense but now Team Accuracy goes no more into Team Overall. "4 jumpers only" makes this event difficult to understand and therefore less attractive for public. The IPC took the chance of not counting the worst jump from individuals in 1969, why now /after 35 years! / teams can enjoy it in each jump? – **To calculate all 5 jumpers.**

Note: the teams meeting after the WPC in Rijeka with very low attendance was not representative enough. Lets have a look to the EUROPACUP traditional chain of top level Accuracy competitions – with scoring all 5 jumpers for some years already and participation is growing.

**6.2.3.2 "Penalties are assigned to the respective maneuver by each judge"**. This makes sense for evaluating judges in courses only. In real competitions now /using the Public Judging System/ judges are registering the Total penalty /mostly by heart by adding individual penalties together when watching the screen/ but are not assigning them to the respective maneuver. – **To delete this paragraph**

**7.2.8 /1+2/** Our proposal of changes in **"the tie breaking procedure"** is a part of that paragraph in our Competition rules for EPC 2005