

<i>Subject:</i>	PROPOSAL NOT TO IMPOSE MORE COSTS ON AN ORGANISER	<i>Annex no. -</i>	15
<i>Author:</i>	Dr. Rainer EXI Hoenle, IPC Delegate, Germany	<i>Agenda ref. -</i>	7.7
<i>Date:</i>	15 November 2010	<i>Page</i>	1 of 1

15.11.2010

Dr. Rainer EXI Hoenle
IPC Delegate Germany

Motion NOT to impose more costs on an organizer.

In order to save IPC expenses it is easy to load such expenses to the organizer. The organizer will distribute those costs over the competitors, which leads to a higher entry fee and most possibly to a lower attendance. Instead of thinking to impose more taxes on the competitor, it seems to be time to think about the necessity of the attendance and the effect of the presentation.

The competitors will come even if the President does not come.

The Bureau decision goes into the wrong direction:

Quote

The Bureau, in further discussing financial matters, agreed –

1 To put into the Bid Book that the bidder must will be responsible for the costs of the IPC President to attend the event

End of Quote.

There are already many hidden costs for IPC Officials for the event in the entry fee.

FAI Controller – costs for travel and accommodation for the event and if he or she or the IPC Bureau so wishes and finds it helpful to do a informative pre-visit to the venue prior to the event.

FAI/IPC Controller Judging and scoring, if applicable.

Chief Judges Travel and accommodation per each event.

Chief of Judge training TGravel and accommodation per event

Judges accommodation from minimum 7 to up to 15 per event

indirect costs but paid through the same source of fee-paying members for 3 Jury members.

Dr. Rainer EXI Hoenle
IPC Delegate Germany