

Subject:	PROPOSAL FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF JUDGES' EXPENSES	Annex no. -	56
Author:	Dr. Rainer EXI Hoenle, IPC Delegate, Germany	Agenda ref. -	20.7
Date:	15 November 2010	Page	1 of 1

15.11.2010

IPC Delegate Germany

Motion for a one time reimbursement of judges expenses, exceeding the average allowance for judges travel, because of an exceptional combination of circumstances:

Remote location of Menzelinsk and Niksic and /or extraordinary high visa-costs for entering Russia.

The motion is based on a petition of 100 % of the judges from Montenegro and 2/3 of the judges from Menzelinsk. The issue is not an ongoing issue, it is a one time petition and request to the Plenary. None of the Jury members, doing service for IPC at the same locations as the judges did face any financial loss.

Insofar, the interim decision of the IPC Bureau based on the disagreement of the Judges Commission did not take into consideration, that it was mentioned in the petition, that the Judges Commission may have missed to think ahead in this respect and also that the judges Commission consists of 4 members and 3 advisers, which makes 4 (-) opinions of judges versus more than 30 (+) opinions of judges.

The fact, that the IPC Bureau used the request by the IPC Delegate from Germany to bring forward a proposal, containing to change the existing modus to differ in reimbursements of jury members from judges' reimbursements, will be modified, seems to be an indirect approval of this unjustified difference in treatment. Jury members did not suffer a financial loss.

Dr. Rainer EXI Hoenle
IPC Delegate Germany