

# RC Soaring Technical Meeting Minutes

11<sup>th</sup> October 2020

Report by: Tomas Bartovsky, SC Chairman

## Connected:

Name	Country	Title
Antonis Papadopoulos	GRE	CIAM President
Tomas Bartovsky	CZE	RC-Soaring Subcommittee chairman, CIAM delegate
Peter Uhlig	GER	CIAM Bureau member, CIAM Delegate
Narve Jensen	NOR	CIAM Bureau member, CIAM Delegate
Carles Aymat	ESP	RC-Soaring Subcommittee member, CIAM Delegate
Sotir Lazarkov	BUL	RC-Soaring Subcommittee member, CIAM Delegate
Harry Ells	CAN	CIAM Delegate
Leomar Priit	EST	CIAM Delegate
Peter Keim	NED	CIAM Delegate
Joseph Wurts	NZL	CIAM Delegate
Marek Dominiak	POL	CIAM Delegate
Martin Hoff	AUT	CIAM Delegate
Ralf Decker	GER	RC-Soaring Subcommittee member
Wout Heine	NED	RC-Soaring Subcommittee member
Andreas Fricke	FRA	RC-Soaring Subcommittee member
Rudolf Schaub	SUI	RC-Soaring Subcommittee member
Terry Edmonds	USA	RC-Soaring Subcommittee member
Roman Vojtěch	CZE	Observer
Sverrir Gunnlaugsson	ISL	Observer
Giusepe Generali	ITA	Observer
Thomas Truffo	ITA	Observer
Shuzo Koyama	JAP	Observer
Oscar Lopes	POR	Observer
Roman Safonov	RUS	Observer
Conny Ulvestaf	SWE	Observer
Jon Edison	GBR	Observer
Andrei Diagilev	UKR	Observer

## MINUTES – PROPOSALS

### F3F

Page 29	Class: F3F
a)	<b>5.8. 3 Competitor and Helper</b> Submitted by: GER Amended at the Technical Meeting? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <p><b>5.8.3 Competitor and Helpers:</b> The competitor must operate his radio equipment personally. Each competitor is <u>normally</u> permitted one helper. <del>The</del> <u>This</u> helper is only to assist and advise the competitor until the model is passing Base A in the direction to Base B for the first time and after the timed flight is completed. <b><u>An additional helper for launching might be permitted by the CD in case of strong wind and/or difficult terrain.</u></b></p> S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting): For: 8 Against: 0 Technical Meeting Voting: For: 23 Against: 0 Abstain: 0 Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended as amended</b>

Page 29	Class: F3F
b)	<b>5.8.5 Number of Attempts</b> Submitted by: GER Amended at the Technical Meeting? No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <p>S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting): For: 8 Against: 0                      Technical Meeting Voting: For: 23 Against: 0 Abstain: 0                      Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b></p>

Page 29		Class: F3F	
c)	<b>5.8.5 Number of Attempts</b>	Submitted by:	GER
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No :-		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 7	Against: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 23	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b>		

Page 30		Class: F3F	
d)	<b>5.8.7 Organisation of Starts</b>	Submitted by:	GER
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? Yes :-		
	If the model has not entered the speed course (i.e. first crossing of Base A in the direction of Base B) within the thirty (30) seconds, the scored flight will commence at the moment the thirty (30) seconds expire. If the model has not entered the speed course within the thirty (30) seconds, this is to be announced <b>If the model has not entered the speed course within the thirty (30) seconds, this is to be announced.</b> by the contest director.		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 6	Against: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 23	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended as amended</b>		

Page 30		Class: F3F	
e)	<b>5.8. 8 Task</b>	Submitted by:	DEN
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No :-		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 5	Against: 2
	Technical Meeting Voting: Discussed together with item f) and g)		
	Conclusion : <b>See Item f) and g). Danish CIAM Delegate confirmed that he is withdrawing the proposal so not to be included in the voting.</b>		

f)	<b>5.8. 8 Task</b>	Submitted by:	DEN
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No :-		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 4	Against: 4
	Technical Meeting Voting: Discussed together with item e) and g)		
	Conclusion : <b>See Item g). Danish CIAM Delegate confirmed that he is withdrawing the proposal so not to be included in the voting</b>		

g)	<b>5.8. 8 Task</b>	Submitted by:	GER
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No :-		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 8	Against: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting: Discussed together with item e) and f)		
	Conclusion : <b>Items e) f) and g) unanimously recommended refer back to the Subcommittee for further consideration. German CIAM Delegate confirmed that he is withdrawing the proposal so not to be included in the voting</b>		

Page 31		Class: F3F	
h)	<b>5.8.9 The Speed Course</b>	Submitted by:	DEN
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No :-		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 0	Against: 8
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against: 23 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously not recommended Danish CIAM Delegate confirmed that he is withdrawing the proposal so not to be included in the voting.</b>		

Page 31		Class: F3F	
i)	<b>5.8.10 Safety</b>	Submitted by:	GER
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No :-		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 7	Against: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 23	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b>		

Page 31	Class: F3F		
j)	<b>5.8.12 Scoring</b>	Submitted by:	GER
Amended at the Technical Meeting? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<p><b>5.8.12. Scoring:</b> The result of the flight is stated as the time in seconds and hundredths of seconds obtained by each competitor. For the purpose of calculating the result of the round or group (<del>see paragraph 5.8.16</del>), the competitor's result is converted this way:</p> <p><del><math>(1000 \times P_w) / P</math></del>      <b><math>R_i = P_c / P_w \times 1000</math></b>    <b><math>R_i = 1000 \times T_w / T_i</math></b></p> <p><del>where <math>P_w</math> is the best result in the round or group</del>  <b>Where <math>T_i</math> = time of the competitor and</b>  <b><math>T_w</math> = time of the winner in the related group.</b> (<del>see paragraph 5.8.16</del>) <b>and <math>P_c</math> is the competitor's result.</b></p>			
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 8	Against: 0
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 23	Against: 0      Abstain: 0
Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b>			

Page 32	Class: F3F		
k)	<b>5.8.13 Classification</b>	Submitted by:	GER
Amended at the Technical Meeting? No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 8	Against: 0
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 23	Against: 0      Abstain: 0
Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b>			

Page 32	Class: F3F		
l)	<b>5.8.17 Weather Conditions and Interruptions</b>	Submitted by:	DEN
Amended at the Technical Meeting? No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 0	Against: 7
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 0	Against: 23      Abstain: 0
Conclusion : <b>Unanimously not recommended in favor of item m). Danish CIAM Delegate confirmed that he is withdrawing the proposal so not to be included in the voting</b>			

Page 32	Class: F3F		
m)	<b>5.8.17 Weather Conditions and Interruptions</b>	Submitted by:	GER
Amended at the Technical Meeting? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
<p>a) the wind speed is below three (3) m/sec or more than twenty five (25) m/sec for at least twenty (20) seconds <del>two (2) metres above the ground at the flight line.</del></p> <p>b) the direction of the wind <del>constantly</del> deviates more than 45° from a line perpendicular to the main direction of the speed course <b><u>for at least twenty (20) seconds.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>The wind speed and wind direction is measured with the equipment of the organiser at a representative position and height chosen from the experience of the organiser.</u></b></p> <p>c) in the case of rain.</p> <p><b>d) <u>at nightfall no more than 30 minutes after sunset</u></b></p>			
S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):		For: 8	Against: 0
Technical Meeting Voting:		For: 23	Against: 0      Abstain: 0
Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended as amended</b>			

<b>Page 33</b>		<b>Class: F3F</b>	
n)	<b>5.8.18 Site</b>	<b>Submitted by:</b>	<b>GER</b>
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No		
	S-C Voting ( <i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i> ):	For: 8	Against: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 23	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b>		

### F3J

<b>Page 33</b>		<b>Class: F3J</b>	
o)	<b>5.6.8.2 Launching</b>	<b>Submitted by:</b>	<b>SUI</b>
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No		
	S-C Voting ( <i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i> ):	For: 7	Against: 1
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 23	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b>		

### F3K

<b>Page 34</b>		<b>Class: F3K</b>	
p)	<b>5.8.</b>	<b>Submitted by:</b>	<b>POL</b>
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No		
	S-C Voting ( <i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i> ):	For: 4	Against: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against: 23 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously not recommended</b> , should be submitted in 2021. <b>Polish CIAM Delegate confirmed that he is withdrawing the proposal so not to be included in the voting</b>		

### F3Q

<b>Page 34</b>		<b>Class: F3Q</b>	
k)	<b>5.Q.3 Final Classification</b>	<b>Submitted by:</b>	<b>FRA</b>
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? No		
	S-C Voting ( <i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i> ):	For: 1	Against: 0
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 23	Against: 0 Abstain: 0
	Conclusion : <b>Unanimously recommended</b>		